REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE

CMS Report 3 - A-03 (June 2003)

Subject: Health Care Benefit Discrepancies for Small Employers

Under COBRA (Resolution 109, A-02)

Presented by: Cyril "Kim" Hetsko, MD, Chair

Referred to: Reference Committee A

(Kevin T. Flaherty, MD, Chair)

.....

At the 2002 Annual Meeting, the House of Delegates referred Resolution 109 to the Board of Trustees. Introduced by the Texas Delegation, the resolution calls for the AMA to "seek relief for the employees of small employers so their eligibility for extended health care benefits is on par with employees who work for large employers"; and further, to "seek support for changes in the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 that would enable small employers to afford the same extended health care coverage for their employees currently available to large employers." The Board referred this issue to the Council on Medical Service for a report back to the House at the 2003 Annual Meeting.

the House at the 2003 Annual Meeting

COBRA CONTINUATION COVERAGE

The Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (COBRA) created requirements for the continuation of employment-based group health insurance for 18 months for displaced workers and their families, as well as for workers who lose their eligibility for health insurance because they change jobs or work fewer hours. Employers with 20 or more employees on a typical business day in the previous calendar year are required to offer COBRA coverage and to notify departing employees of the availability of such coverage. COBRA applies to private sector employees, and to most state and local government workers, but does not apply to plans sponsored by the federal government and certain church-related organizations.

 COBRA does not require employers to pay any portion of the premium for displaced workers, and allows employers or their health plan administrators to collect an administrative fee equal to two percent of the premium. The cost of continuation coverage can, therefore, seem very high to a newly unemployed worker whose employer had previously paid a majority of the premium. In addition, the former worker must take proactive steps to enroll for COBRA continuation benefits. To be eligible for COBRA coverage, a former worker must have been enrolled in the employer's health plan when he or she worked, and the health plan must continue to be in effect for active employees.

SMALL BUSINESS COVERAGE

The percentage of employers with fewer than 20 employees that offer health insurance benefits to active employees is relatively low. According to 2001 data compiled by the Employee Benefit Research Institute, 29% of workers in firms with fewer than 10 employees received health insurance through their employers, and 41% of workers in firms with 10-24 employees received coverage through their employers.

CMS Rep. 3 - A-03 -- page 2 (June 2003)

- 1 Many states have laws that require smaller businesses to provide similar COBRA-like coverage.
- 2 The appendix to this report contains data compiled by the Kaiser Family Foundation showing that
- 38 states have laws that extend COBRA-like provisions to small employers. There is wide 3
- 4 variation in the duration of the coverage under such laws, ranging from 30 days to 18 months.
- State continuation coverage expansions may be exempt from regulatory requirements that would 5
- 6 apply to COBRA coverage. In addition, state expansions may allow the continuation coverage to 7
 - be a separate, less rich, benefit package than the coverage available to active employees.

8 9

10

11 12 Resolution 109 (A-02) presumes that because there is no law mandating the continuation of small employers' voluntary health insurance coverage, small business employers are barred from voluntarily offering such coverage. The Council finds no evidence to substantiate the belief that small employers are unable to voluntarily offer their employees the same eligibility benefits of extension of health care coverage as large employers.

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23 As previously noted, no more than 41% of active employees in small businesses receive health insurance coverage. For those small businesses that do provide coverage to active employees, and are not mandated to provide continuation coverage for former employees, such coverage could have a significant impact on their small risk pools. Therefore, it seems likely that, in some circumstances, small employers may be reluctant to keep their former employees in their risk pools, as it may increase the health insurance costs for their active employees. It is possible that in those states where small employers are required to provide continuation coverage, their health insurance costs reflect the increased cost of extending group coverage to former employees. Accordingly, it is possible that such requirements to provide continuation coverage may hinder the ability of small businesses to provide coverage to active employees.

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

Should a small business, nevertheless, want to provide continuation coverage, the barrier is not a matter of law, but probably a matter of cost. In addition, because of the sensitivity of small risk pools to each member of the pool, it may be that health plan administrators discourage the continuation of coverage in small groups. Since COBRA does not require continuation coverage by small employers, small employers in states that lack a state-mandated continuation coverage requirement would have no reason to include a provision for such coverage in their contracts with health plans. However, if small businesses wish to provide continuation coverage to their former employees, and can afford to do so, there is nothing in the law that would prevent them from renegotiating their contracts with their health plan administrators. The renegotiated rate is likely to be at a higher cost.

35 36 37

EMPLOYER SIZE IRRELEVANT WITH INDIVIDUALLY OWNED INSURANCE

38 39

40

41

42

Resolution 109 (A-02) supports seeking to have COBRA amended so that it applies to employers of all sizes. Yet, even if the AMA were successful in bringing about such a change in COBRA, it still would only apply to those employees whose employers have an existing employee group health plan — currently 29% of employees in firms with fewer than 10 employees, and 41% of employees in firms with 10-24 employees.

43 44 45

46

An employer mandate would address the low number of small businesses offering coverage, but such a mandate would be highly inconsistent with Policy H-165.920(5) (AMA Policy Database),

CMS Rep. 3 - A-03 -- page 3 (June 2003)

which supports individually selected and individually owned health insurance as the preferred method for people to obtain health insurance coverage; and supports and advocates a system where individually-purchased and owned health expense coverage is the preferred option, but employer-provided coverage is still available to the extent the market demands it. The House of Delegates officially rescinded previous AMA policy in support of an employer mandate in 2000. Accordingly, the Council believes advocating for a legislative expansion of COBRA would perpetuate the employment-based system, and prolong the relationship between displaced workers and their former employers after employment has ended.

In order to enable individuals to purchase coverage, Policy H-165.920(12) supports a replacement of the present exclusion from employees' taxable income of employer-provided health insurance with tax credits for individuals and families. Policy H-165.865 provides nine principles to guide the development of tax credit legislation, including that tax credits be refundable, of a size that is inversely related to income, and of a size to ensure that health insurance is affordable for most people. Highly visible AMA advocacy of Policies H-165.920 and H-165.865 would be diminished if the AMA were to undertake advocacy to expand the employment-based system, particularly in a manner that may undermine the laudable efforts of small businesses to provide coverage to employees who otherwise would be uninsured.

 In addition, businesses with fewer than 50 employees, as well as the self-employed, are able to establish Medical Savings Accounts (MSAs). MSAs were authorized under a pilot project by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 as tax-exempt personal savings accounts that must be used for qualified medical expenses. MSA contributions in any given year can be made by either, but not both, the employer or the employee. MSAs are fully owned by the individual employee, and are portable and usable following the loss of employment. Policies H-165.869, H-165.879, and H-165.920(7) support broader use of MSAs as a means to provide individual coverage. In particular Policy H-165.869(3a) advocates repeal of various restrictions on MSAs, including removal of the demonstration status of the project.

CONCLUSION

After careful consideration of varying alternatives, the Council could not support a solution that would achieve less than what AMA policy already supports. That is, current AMA policy advocates for fully portable MSAs and individually owned health insurance, which would ameliorate the need for COBRA and state laws regarding similar continuation coverage for small businesses.

The Council believes there are ways that the AMA can encourage small business employers who want to provide coverage for active and former employees. Specifically, the AMA could encourage small businesses to establish portable MSAs for their employees. In addition, the Council believes the AMA should encourage small employers who provide their employees with group health insurance benefits, to provide continuation coverage to former employees, ideally consistent with the 18 months of coverage under COBRA, to the extent that such employers are able to do so without jeopardizing the coverage of active employees.

CMS Rep. 3 - A-03 -- page 4 (June 2003)

The Council concluded that making COBRA, or coverage similar to COBRA, apply to all employers regardless of business size, as advocated by Resolution 109 (A-02), would require national legislation, state legislation, or both; mirroring the efforts of 38 states to require small businesses that provide coverage for active employees to also provide some level of continuation coverage to displaced employees. The Council believes model state legislation would be a less efficient means of accomplishing the goals of national legislation, and it would raise, but not answer, the question of how proscriptive the model legislation should be. In addition, state laws that mimic COBRA would be exempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) from applying to employer self-funded plans. In addition, the Council believes expanding the national scope of COBRA to apply to small businesses would be counterproductive to the goal

of decreasing the number of uninsured individuals.

The Council notes that state medical associations are best able to assess the appropriateness of their state laws pertaining to continuation of health insurance coverage for small businesses, and best able to seek to amend those state laws that are found to be limiting.

1617 RECOMMENDATIONS

The Council on Medical Service recommends that the following be adopted in lieu of Resolution 109 (A-02), and that the remainder of the report be filed:

1. That the American Medical Association (AMA) reaffirm Policy H-165.920(5), which supports individually selected and individually owned health insurance as the preferred method for people to obtain health insurance coverage; and supports and advocates a system where individually purchased and owned health expense coverage is the preferred option, but employer-provided coverage is still available to the extent the market demands it. (Reaffirm HOD Policy)

2. That the AMA reaffirm Policy H-165.869(3a), which advocates repeal of the demonstration status of the Medical Savings Account project. (Reaffirm HOD Policy)

3. That the AMA support the principle that small employers who provide their employees with a group health insurance benefit, and who can afford to do so, should be encouraged to provide continuation coverage for their former employees, ideally consistent with the 18 months of coverage under COBRA. (New HOD Policy)

4. That the AMA encourage small employers to establish individual Medical Savings Accounts for their employees. (Directive to Take Action)

CMS Rep. 3 - A-03 -- page 5 (June 2003)

Appendix

STATE CONTINUATION COVERAGE FOR SMALL FIRM EMPLOYEES					
State	COBRA Expansion	(COBRA Duration	State	COBRA Expansion	Duration
Alabama	No		Nebraska	Yes	6 months ²
Alaska	No		Nevada	Yes	18 months ¹
Arizona	No		New Hampshire	Yes	18 months
Arkansas	Yes	120 days	New Jersey	Yes	12 months
California	Yes	18 months	New York	Yes	18 months ¹
Colorado	Yes	18 months	North Carolina	Yes	18 months
Connecticut	Yes	18 months ¹	North Dakota	Yes	39 weeks
Delaware	No		Ohio	Yes	6 months
District of	No		Oklahoma	Yes	30 days in some
Columbia					cases
Florida	Yes	18 months	Oregon	Yes	6 months
Georgia	Yes	3 months	Pennsylvania	No	
Hawaii	No		Rhode Island	Yes	18 months in some cases
Idaho	No		South Carolina	Yes	6 months
Illinois	Yes	9 months	South Dakota	Yes	18 months ¹
Indiana	No		Tennessee	Yes	3 months
Iowa	Yes	9 months	Texas	Yes	6 months
Kansas	Yes	6 months	Utah	Yes	6 months
Kentucky	Yes	18 months	Vermont	Yes	6 months
Louisiana	Yes	12 months	Virginia	No	
Maine	Yes	12 months	Washington	No	
Maryland	Yes	18 months	West Virginia	Yes	18 months
Massachusetts	Yes	18 months ¹	Wisconsin	Yes	18 months
Michigan	No		Wyoming	Yes	12 months
Minnesota	Yes	18 months	Guam	NA	NA
Mississippi	Yes	12 months	Puerto Rico	NA	NA
Missouri	Yes	9 months	Virgin Islands	NA	NA
Montana	No				

Notes: State COBRA expansion programs exist in 38 states and extend coverage to employees in firms with fewer than 20 workers who are not covered by COBRA, the federal law. Coverage under these state continuation programs may differ in duration, restrictions, and eligibility from the coverage provided to workers under federal law.

Sources: Kaiser Family Foundation, which complied data from the Georgetown University Institute for Health Care Research and Policy, Summary of State Small Group Market Reforms and A Consumer Guide for Getting and Keeping Health Insurance, October 2001. Data is currently as of 2001 for the majority of states, and as of 1998 to 2001 for the remainder.

Footnotes: 1. In some cases, coverage extends to 36 months. 2. In some cases, coverage extends to 12 months.